(1) (13)

Division Bench

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BILASPUR

CHHATTISGARH

WRIT PETITION.NO.1858 OF 2005

BETWEEN

Ram Ratan S/o Shri Prem Lal Ratre, aged about years, by caste Satnami, Resident of Village Belsari, Thana Takhatpur, District Bilaspsur (CG).

PETITIONER

AND

1. The State of Chhattisgarh,
   Through the Secretary Department of Home Affairs,
   Mantralay, D.K.S. Building,
   Building, Raipur (C.G.)

2. The Director General of Police, Chhattisgarh, Raipur,
   Tahsil and District Raipur (CG).

3. The Collector, Bilaspur,
   Tahsil and District Bilaspur (CG).

4. Superintendent of Police, Bilaspur, Tahsil and District Bilaspur (CG).

5. The Station House Officer, Police Station Takhatpur, District Bilaspur (CG).

6. The Station House Officer, Mahila Thana, Bilaspur, Tahsil and District Bilaspur (CG).

7. Sharda Prasad Tandon, S/o Mangal Satnami, aged about 25 years, resident of Belsari, Police Station Takhatpur, District Bilaspur (CG).

RECEIVED COPY
CLERK to A. G. BILASPUR

P.R. No. 1790/05
Presented by Shri H.S. Sahu
dated 21.04.05

8. Dilharan, S/o Janku Satnami, aged about 23 years, by caste Satnami, resident of Belsari, Police Station Takhatpur, District Bilaspur (CG).

9. Prahlad Tandon, S/o Mangal Satnami, resident of Belsari, Police Station Takhatpur, District Bilaspur (CG).

(39)

XI-HC-22

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

आदेश पत्रक

मामला क्रमांक W.P.No.1858/2005 सन् 200

..... विरुद्ध .....

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश

DIVISION BENCH

HON'BLE SHRI A.K. PATNAIK, CJ
& HON'BLE SHRI SATISH K. AGNIHOTRI

27.07.2005

W.P.No.1858 of 2005

Heard Mr. Arun Kochar, learned counsel for the petitioner, Mr. P.K. Verma, learned Additional Advocate General for the State of Chhattisgarh / respondents 1 to 6, Mr. Sunil Tripathi, learned counsel for respondent No.7 and Mr. Rajeev Shrivastava and Mr. Shiv Kumar, learned counsel for respondent No.9.

This is a habeas corpus petition filed by Ram Ratan. He has alleged in the petition that his daughter Ku. Suranjana left the house on 15.03.2005 at 6.00 A.M. to appear for 10th Board Examination. But she neither reached the examination centre (Government JMP School, Takhatpur) nor she returned home. On 18.03.2005 he came to learn that she has been forcibly abducted by respondents 7 and 8 while she was on her way to examination centre. The petitioner has made a prayer for directing respondents 5 to 9 to produce Ku. Suranjana in this Court and to set her at liberty.

Pursuant to order passed by this Court, respondents 8 and 9 have appeared but respondent No.7 did not appear and on 13.07.2005, the Court directed that a warrant of arrest be issued to respondent No.7 and warrant be executed by the Station House Officer, Takhatpur, District Bilaspur and respondent No.7 shall be produced before this Court today. Pursuant to the said order, a warrant of arrest was issued and the

(पीछे देखिये)

XI-HC-22

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

आदेश पत्रक

मामला क्रमांक ..... सन् 200

..... विरुद्ध .....

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
	respondent No.7 was arrested and produced before this Court today. The girl Suranjana has also been recovered and given in custody to the petitioner i.e. Ram Ratan. On a query by the Court as to with whom the girl would like to stay, Suranjana stated before the Court that she would like to stay with her parents namely the petitioner Ram Ratan and her mother. Suranjana may therefore, stay with her parents as per her wish. Regarding respondent No.7, it will be open for the police to take such action as is warranted by law against him, if according to the police, he has committed any offence. With the aforesaid directions the writ petition stands disposed of. **Sd/-** **Chief Justice**	**Sd/-** **Satish K. Agnihotri** **Judge**

(पीछे देखिये)